



CSA News

The Creation Science Association for Mid-America

"It is better to trust in the Lord than to put confidence in men" Psalm 118:8

Volume 17 (2) Mar/Apr, 2000

More Great Proofs of Evolution

"The Laws of Cause and Effect, and the 1st and 2nd Laws of Thermodynamics have been invalidated by modern science"

Part 2

by Tom Willis

This article began in the Jan/Feb, 2000 CSA News, which you must read if you expect to understand this segment. The astute among you may have noticed we haven't yet really honed in "directly" on the subject. Rather than apologizing, I'll simply note that we will in the next installment.

The Cosmos According to "Science"

We began by asking "Are the Laws of Classical Physics True?" We then followed with a brief summary of only one area of "Classical Physics," "What really moves in the heavens?" We discussed that everyone knows that it is a fact of science that the earth goes around the sun. For man's current "scientific" understanding of the Cosmos to be true, the earth rotates daily, and circles the sun annually; the sun is racing through space at various rates, depending on which theory is most popular today, dragging the solar system with it. There are "fixed stars," or, more popularly today, "fixed galaxies." It all began with a huge explosion (or, more popular today, a "big expansion"). All of the elements were produced with atomic fusion, a few during the "big expansion," the rest in nuclear fusion during star explosions. All systems of the Cosmos are the result of gravity recalling the debris of these "expansions and explosions" and placing it in massively complex rotational systems. The entire system is described as cyclic, lasting billions of years, with its ultimate destiny somewhere between continued expansion into nothingness to collapse back into a "black hole."

The Cosmos According to the Bible

Interestingly, a straightforward interpretation of the Bible would lead anyone to believe that the Earth was created first, it was created virtually instantaneously (Genesis 1,2) only a few thousand years ago (Genesis 4,5 and 10-12 give the names of one line of descendants from the first week down to known historical times. The literal meaning of these passages are repeatedly confirmed in the New Testament, Luke 3:23-38, Jude 1:14, Galatians 3:17). The Bible strongly states that the earth can be shaken, but does not move at all (Ps 93:1). But the sun does move (e.g., Joshua 10:12-14) and does so in a circuit (Psalm 19:1-6). Some will argue "that is only your interpretation." My response is simply, "It is not an interpretation at all, it is what the words say. Try making them say that God took billions of years to build the earth, it happened billions of years ago, and it travels around the sun." Now, what does the evidence say? In the last segment, we suggested that all experiments to demonstrate that the earth

moves at all have failed. All seem to indicate the earth does not move at all. There is much evidence that the earth is young and cannot possibly be millions, much less billions of years old but we will not treat that herein. While it is much more difficult to prove how quickly the earth was formed, there is, in fact hard evidence that it must have formed quickly because it could **not** have formed slowly, again, beyond the scope of this essay, but readily available elsewhere.

What About Earth's Place in the Cosmos?

The Bible does not say that the earth is at the center of the universe. But, anyone looking up can see that the sun, planets and stars are moving. Galileo argued that this motion was **relative**, that really the earth was spinning and it only looks like these other objects move. But, both the observations and the Bible indicate quite strongly that the earth does not move. If that is so, then the rest of this "stuff" must be moving. Copernicus, Galileo and Kepler offered persuasion around science to "prove" their views, each declared an axiom that, if accepted, made their views true. Since Copernicus, some have simply declared the "Cosmological Principle," which means the cosmos is homogenous, that the view from all points within it is the same, that the earth is a planet (a wandering star), with no special place in the cosmos. **This notion of the cosmos is fundamental to every popular "scientific" theory of the universe since Copernicus, and many of them before him.**

For those of us interested in good science, the question remains, "Is this principle valid?" The only possible honest answer is that "Not one shred of evidence supports the notion of cosmological uniformity, and many support the notion that, not only is the "principle" balderdash, but the Earth is actually at the center of the universe. While the details are beyond the scope of **any** essay, the elements can be grasped by anyone:

- If the Cosmos is homogeneous, it must be infinite, for if bounded, the view near the edge cannot be the same as near the center.
- Some argue the cosmological principle could be true if space is "curved" like a hollow ball, thus, no objects on the surface can be said to be at the "center," or they are all equally at the center. This idea is frequently invoked to "explain" problems with the current theories. I believe it is irrational because no one can reconcile it rationally with two questions "With respect to what is it curved?" And "How does a curved surface, explain the three dimensions we clearly observe and measure?"
- Cosmological Red Shift, the observation that light from stars appears to be more red as the distance to the star increases, indicates that stars in all directions from earth are shifted red. If the interpretation of this "redness" is correct, then the earth must be at, or very near, the center of the Cosmos. If incorrect, then "modern science" has no theory of the cosmos, because the "Big Expansion" is dead.
- If the Cosmos is homogeneous and the Earth has no special place in it, then there is a real problem with the extensive

data on quasars gathered by Varshni¹ which shows clearly that virtually half the quasars known at that time were in **concentric spheres around the earth**. Varshni said that the “cosmological interpretation of the red shift in the spectra of quasars leads to yet another paradoxical result: namely, that the Earth is the center of the Universe.” Varshni is not a Christian, much less a Geocentrist. He merely pointed out the obvious implications of his research. He proposed an alternative theory of red shift interpretation to solve “the problem.” Practically no one supports his alternative, and it appears to be seriously flawed. His research is never directly referred to. It is only called “*the quasar distribution problem*.” Of course it is only a problem to those who insist the earth has no special place in the Cosmos.

Many competent physicists have acknowledged that, unless we can get outside the Cosmos, we cannot prove either a “Sun-centered Solar System,” or an “Earth Centered Cosmos,” and a number of competent physicists and others have done a good job of demonstrating the truth of this claim. In the following brief list, not one is, or was a fundamentalist Christian, or Geocentrist, yet, in one way or another, all argued and presented considerable evidence, that the physics is the same whether you choose heliocentricity or geocentricity, and that the “proofs” that the earth travels around the sun are not proofs of that view at all:

- Gerber, P., 1898. *Zeitschrift für Mathematik u. Physik*, **43**:93
- Rosser, W. G. V., 1964. *An Introduction to the Theory of Relativity*, (London: Butterworths), p. 460
- Thirring (1916. *Physicalische Zeitschrift* **19**:33)
- Møller, C., 1952. *The Theory of Relativity*, (Oxford: Clarendon Press), pp. 318-321
- Lense, J. & Thirring, H., 1921, *Physikalische Zeitschrift* **22**:29
- Einstein (in general and often, argued that there is no difference whether you choose the sun, Earth, or Mars as your frame of reference, you would still have an “inertial system,” meaning you could still shoot rockets and hit Mars)
- Brown, G. Burniston, 1955. *Proceedings of the Phys. Soc. B*, **68**:672
- Moon, P. & Spencer, D. E., 1959. *Philosophy of Science*, **26**:125.
- Barbour and Bertotti, 1977. *Il Nuovo Cimento B*, **38**, 1.
- Nightengale, J.D. *American Journal of Physics*, **45**:376, 1977.

Of the above papers, six of them demonstrated that a geocentric model of the Cosmos explained as many phenomena as a heliocentric one (one with a sun centered solar system). They also demonstrated that all phenomena used as “proofs” of heliocentricity would behave the same in a Cosmos where the Earth was at the center and did not move. This includes the seasons on the Earth, apparent “retrograde motion” of some planets, the Foucault pendulum, oblateness of the earth (bulge at the equator), and the Coriolis effect. None of the authors were geocentric Christians, most did it largely as an intellectual exercise.

- Sir Fred Hoyle, one of Britain’s leading astronomers summed up 400 years of Cosmological research as

follows: “We know that the difference between a heliocentric theory and a geocentric theory is one of relative motion only, and that such a difference has no physical significance.”²

In spite of all this, anyone who suggests that, “Perhaps the earth does not really go around the sun,” is treated as a scientific idiot.

The purpose of this essay is not to assert that geocentrists, or anyone else is right. I do not know who is right, though I strongly suspect that, as He promised, God will “confound the wisdom of the wise.” There will be other points later, but at this time I would like to suggest that, unlike Monopoly, or a courtroom, in “science” there is no formal way of picking a winner. What passes for science has always been selected in a manner more equivalent to a political election than anything remotely resembling an objective search for truth. A key change in the last few hundred years is the formation of “scientific societies.” The Geologic Society of London, which met in the tavern of the Masonic Lodge, the “Royal Society,” the Am. Assoc. for the Advancement of Science, the National Academy of Science, have all ostensibly been intended to foster scientific communication, but they rapidly became, and in some cases were largely formed as, political action groups that behaved little differently than a political party, even much like a religious cult. Just as Republicans do not want Democrats voting in their meetings, so these groups routinely labor to drive from their ranks anyone who does not endorse their favorite theories. From the beginning, politics and religion dominated their intent, thus their favorite theories are, and always have been, selected based on politics and religion (truly neutral “scientific” theories are generally left to fend for themselves). The primary political agenda was getting rid of kings. Since kings had exploited the pseudo-Christian doctrine of “Divine Right,” the political goal purportedly fueled the primary religious goal: “anything other than Christianity.” Since humanism is always more mean-spirited than Christianity (even than pseudo-Christianity) true Christians are nearly all driven from these groups. A recent survey of the National Academy of Science published in a major scientific journal showed, that the cult is very small, and it is 70% atheist!

In the next segment, we’ll discuss Quantum Physics, and the modern theories of light and electron. Then we will discuss whether science has disproved “The Law of Cause and Effect, and the 1st and 2nd Laws of Thermodynamics.”



TO BE CONTINUED

CSA Lending Library - Important Notes

The new CSA Lending Library has over 240 videotapes, 120 audio tapes, and 80 books. The complete catalog is much larger and more costly than before. If you would like a copy, please consider sending a contribution to help defray costs.

Anyone who knows the whereabouts of or who has checked out the following videos, please return them to the CSA Library:

Wonders of the Solar System by Wayne Spencer

From a Frog to a Prince

1986 International Conference on Creation:

#T-5 Reversals of the Earth's Magnetic Field During the Genesis Flood -Russell Humphreys

¹ Y.P.Varshni “The Redshift Hypothesis for Quasars: Is the Earth the Center of the Universe?”, *Astrophysics and Space Science*, 1976, **43**:3

² Hoyle, Sir Fred 1975. “*Astronomy and Cosmology - A Modern Course*, (San Francisco: W. H. Freeman & Co.), p. 416

Use this coupon to request:	Quantity	Amount
Lending Library Catalog	_____	No Charge
Book, audio and video tape catalog	_____	No Charge
Reprints of feature articles from CSA News: (1 copy - \$1.00, 20 - \$4.00, 100 - \$17.00)	_____	_____
Audio/Video Special (Mar - Apr, 2000)	_____	_____
Meeting Videos (specify date or #)	_____	_____
Meeting Audios (specify date or #)	_____	_____
Other items	_____	_____
Membership	_____	_____
Postage: \$1.50/audio, \$2.00/ book or video	_____	_____
Total	_____	_____
Keep me on the mailing list	_____	_____

Join and Support CSA

Over 8300 people receive **CSA News** which is free for the asking. Please consider supporting our work. Many say **CSA News** is the first thing they read. If you don't, help us be good stewards by removing your name. Write or call:

**CSA, 22509 State Line Road
Cleveland, MO 64734**

**Phone: (816) 618-3610 FAX: (816) 658-3253
Important: New Phone Number is a KC Phone.**

**Full Membership: \$17.00 per year
Sustaining Membership: \$100 per year
Associate Membership: \$5.00 per year**

Cut out coupon at the left, return with your address label

#T-13 The Antediluvian Biosphere and Its Capability of Supplying the Entire Fossil Record - John Woodmorappe

1994 International Conference on Creation:

#T-5 A Biblical Basis for Creationist Cosmology - D. Russell Humphreys, PhD

#T-53 Toward Understanding Tidal Fluids - Drs. M.E. Clark and H.D. Voss

Audio tapes:

Dealing with Theistic Evolution - Ken Ham

Astronomical Evidences for the Flood - Barry Setterfield

The Formation of Coal - J. Scheven

Harmonizing the Bible and Geologic Formations - Bernard Northrup

"Pardon, Our Slip is Showing"

The Nov/Dec, 1999 issue of **CSA News**, in the lead article, "The Continuing Saga of The Great Kansas Science Wars" contained two factual errors at the top of page 2. The letter from Steven Dahlberg suggested that a piano be dropped from a 3rd story window on the writers of the CDC draft of the Kansas Science Standards, not the 12th story. He also suggested that Christian houses be razed, not burned to the ground as the article said. Though neither error materially changed the message of Dahlberg's letter, they were errors, slips of the memory on our part... our apologies. Thanks to Steven Dapra of Albuquerque for pointing them out.

CSA Bumper Stickers: 3-3/4" x 17"
Black on Bright Yellow,
Price: 1: \$1.75, 5: \$7.00, 10: \$13.00, 50: \$50, 100: \$70



Audio/Video Special:

The Origin of Caves

by: Tom Willis

Like many other things, evolutionists have developed a "science" of millions of years to form caves. Beautiful cave slides, interviews with cave specialists, and sound theory arrives at a completely different view.

Audio A0179: \$5.00. Video: V0179 - \$13.00

Audio/Video Special:

European Biological Thought in the 1800's

- Why Was Darwin Accepted?

by: Mark Matthews

The conventional story is that Darwin quickly convinced the scientific community of the power of his arguments. Mark decided to investigate the history for himself. Publications and letters from the period are available by the thousands. It is right there for all to read.

Audio A0180 - \$5.00 Video: V0180 - \$13.00

Too Far Away To Attend CSA Meetings? Why Not Attend Via Audio or Video Tape

Attend CSA Meetings by ordering the Audio (\$5.00) or Video (\$13.00) tape by requesting the meeting date, topic, or item number (at the end of the meeting announcement), e.g. V0188. Basic and Advanced Session tapes may also be checked out of the library:

**The CSA Lending Library - Librarian: Larry Rink
8904 Mastin, Overland Park, Ks 66212, (913) 492-6545**

Are You Participating in CSA As Much as You Should?

"The harvest is plentiful, but the laborers are few." Are you doing all the Lord has called you to do in the war for the minds and hearts of our citizens... especially our youth? CSA is not a closed fraternity. Any born-again believer who is abiding in the words of Jesus, and has been gifted in research, computers, speaking, clerical activities, writing of articles or book reviews, etc., and who has heard a call to serve in an origins ministry should consider and pray about serving with us. Write or call for more information.

© Copyright 2000

**The Creation Science Association
for Mid-America**

22509 State Line Road Cleveland, MO. 64734
Phone: (816) 618-3610 FAX: (816) 658-3253
Email: CSAHQ@juno.com

Non-profit Org
U.S. Postage Paid
Permit #2
Cleveland, MO

BULK RATE

Address Service Requested

CSA Monthly Meeting Location: Westbrooke Church 9898 West 95th, Overland Park, KS

Two blocks East of 69 Highway (or Switzer) on North side of 95th Street

Refreshments: 6:15PM - Meeting: 7:00PM Nursery Available: \$2.50 per child (Reservations required for nursery)

CSA Meeting - Tuesday, Mar 7, 2000

**The Basic Institute in Creation Science
Textbook Evidence for Evolution**

by: Bob Farwell

You often hear folks say there is something wrong with the textbooks. Now review for yourself what some of the leading textbooks say to the kids. Then compare that with what the kids are **not** told.

(Tapes: A0203, \$5.00 or V0203, \$13.00)

**The Advanced Institute in Creation Science
Knee Design:
Implications for Creation/Evolution**

by: Keven C. McLeod, M.D.

Video from the 1994 International Conference on Creation
Evolutionists continually prattle on about how evolution caused everything. Yet God said the evidence of His power and deity was manifest in everything that He made. It is extremely helpful, from time to time, to examine some of the things He made through the eyes of a specialist. [IC94T-031]

CSA Meeting - Tuesday, Apr. 4, 2000

**The Basic Institute in Creation Science
Astronomy and Origins - An Update**

by: Larry Rink

Larry will review a number of recent discoveries and claimed discoveries in astronomy, along with the claims and counter-claims regarding their impact on origins theories

(Tapes: A0204, \$5.00 or V0204, \$13.00)

**The Advanced Institute in Creation Science
The World View Approach to Critical Thinking**

Mark E. Wisnieski, M.A.

Video from the 1994 International Conference on Creation
"World View" refers to your view of ultimate reality and truth. One way of understanding how people think is to understand the basis of their thinking. [IC94T-056]

**Special Youth Sessions at CSA Meetings
for High School Students. 7:00 - 8:30PM.**

March 7: A Special Origins Presentation by Kit Cheong
April 4: A Special Origins Presentation by Kit Cheong
Discussion led by Cal Myers, Ph.D.

Visit us at www.csama.org

**CSA
Real Scientists
Just Say NO!
Seminar**

**Have you had one in
your Community,
School or Church
lately? Contact CSA
for info.**

