The World’s View of Earth Age (and History)

Many college professors would have their students believe there was no knowledge of geology before the end of the 1700’s. I would tend to invert that thought to read, “Since Hutton in the late 1700’s and Lyell in the 1830’s the science of geology has been perverted to the point that knowledge and understanding of geology has actually declined, at least among mainstream thinkers.” The primary exceptions would be where the economic value of petroleum and minerals resulted in popular geology being ignored, and where Creationist geologists have ignored popular geology and developed their own science.

Before Hutton, Lyell, Darwin, et al, geology was largely a science in which the facts of the rocks, minerals, etc., were studied with the idea that we might understand them. But, Lyell expressed disdain for such people who treated geology as a science to be studied in the present, about the present. Lyell wanted “science” to prove his religion, which was sort-of Hindu, believing in a cyclic nature. It is important to remember that the Inductive (Scientific) Method promoted by Francis Bacon and made popular by the successes of Isaac Newton was an “operational science” that included only observations of, and generalized theories about, phenomena in the present. Hutton, Lyell, Darwin, et al perverted this to the point that observations in the present were to lead us to conclusions about the very distant past.

The word “perverted” is not chosen lightly. The inductive method of Bacon requires repeatable experiments and/or observations in the present, designed to test generalized theories about present phenomena. It is not possible to test the past, one can only do “thought experiments.” Even a casual reading of Darwin leads even his most ardent supporters to note how his thought experiments resulted in conclusions with which quite reasonable men would disagree. Many of Darwin’s conclusions we now know were far from correct. In short, the Inductive (Scientific) Method of Bacon simply does not apply to theories about the past. In spite of what many books and professors claim, there is no such thing as “Historical Science.”

We could qualify that by saying that the Latin word “scientia” means “knowledge” and the popular version tends to imply “theories.” Of course, one can have “theories” about the past, but it is grotesquely wrong to treat them as though they deserve the same respect as theories that have been subjected to millions of successful tests in the present.

“Sedimentary” Rocks - How Did They Form?

Consider a central proposition of “Historical Geology,” that “The Present is the Key to the Past.” By this they mean that processes we observe today are the exact same processes that have always acted. This seems sooooo scientific... but is it? First, it is entirely based on the assumption that the processes we have observed the last 200 years are virtually identical to all that have operated in earth and/or cosmos history. With their belief system, this seems absurd on the face of it.

They “believe” the earth has been here 4.5 billion years, and the cosmos, at least 14 billion (depending on which version you read yesterday). Thus, they believe the earth is 22.5 million times as old as the history we have observed. You don’t have to be PhD in statistics to recognize that is, well, a fairly small sample size.

They pretend, for example, to believe that most sedimentary rocks (rocks made of small articles, water or wind deposited and cemented into a solid) accumulated at average annual rates of less than a thousandth of an inch, thus requiring more than 12,000 years to “make” a foot of rock.

Further, there seems powerful evidence of events in the past thousands of times as large as we have observed. For example, there are volcanic calderas near Mt. Saint Helens that indicate an explosion 3000 times as large as Mt. Saint Helens in May of 1980. That would mean the historical volcano exploded with the force of 99 million Hiroshima-sized atomic bombs! There are other calderas at least this large. No geologist has any real idea of an event, or events of this magnitude, nor of how many occurred at the same time! We have no real knowledge of the global
impact of thousands of volcanos, or of volcanos this large, or of
global movement of the earth’s crust during such an event.

Some things seem certain:  (1) The volcanos did explode
with enormous force far greater than anything observed in the last
200 years.  (2) Even small volcanos toss enough rock dust around
to make many feet of rock, and that this dust is far more capable
of making rock than any lake or ocean sediments.  (3) Pieces of
the earth’s crust probably did move thousands of miles with enormous
pieces sliding under others (called “subduction”), scraping
untold millions of tons of rock off while doing so.  Seismic waves
during such an event have been modeled at several thousand feet
high, and were capable of distributing ground-up-rock globally.
(4) Such “sediments,” in the laboratory, when dumped into either
stationary or flowing water produce layers very much like the
sedimentary rock layers observed on earth today.  Furthermore,
this material definitely produces rock. Conversely, the “science”
of river and lake sediments is that they produce topsoil not rock.
The Dutch have drained thousands of acres of ocean.  They plant
course grass as soon as it is dry enough and then farm the ocean
sediments!  Golly, where is the rock?

My point?  It is theoretically possible to have formed all of
the sedimentary rock on earth in days to months rather than thou-
sands, much less hundreds of millions of years!  Further, the notion
that ocean sediments form rock is falsified by the Dutch and
others who placidly farm them.  Please note, I am using “Unifor-
tarian Geology” the same way our opponents do, i.e., The Pre-

tentation is the Key to the Past.”  We have observed volcanos and the
sedimentary rocks they make, and the caldera they leave, in the present.
We have observed continental subduction in the present.
We have observed evidence of their past activity in the present,
and ocean sediments being formed.  If “The Present is the Key to the
Past,” the only thing I have added is to consider that the
rapid processes above were the primary causes of the rocks we
find rather than the slow ones evolutionists adore, which
seldom form any rock!  Why?  Organic contaminants, etc., in
typical sediments tend to prevent rock formation.

Grand Canyon Rocks - 500 Million Years in Forming?
Grand Canyon rocks are supposedly by the enemies of Biblical
History to represent 500 million years of earth history (well, with
a mere 200 million years missing).  This is supposedly supported
by their view that sediments accumulate slowly in calm seas.
However, there are many feet of sandstone in Grand Canyon
walls.  Sand does not form in huge layers in calm seas, is not car-
rried far out to sea by rivers, and river deltas are easy to spot by
their shape.  The Redwall limestone contains over a billion nautil-
loid fossils averaging over 12 inches long.  These have been ori-
ented by flowing water.  Modern sedimentology equations indicate these deposits, covering major parts of several states, oc-
curred in less than one day!  Rocks and even house-sized boul-
ders in other parts of Grand Canyon all indicate these were
deposited by moving, not “calm” waters.  Grand Canyon rocks
literally scream “moving water, rapid deposition.”  They could
easily have all been deposited in less than one year.

Grand Canyon - 18 or 31 Million Years in Forming?

When my family toured the Kaibob Trail at Grand Canyon,
the geology-grad-student tour guide told us that the Colorado River flowed 92 million gallons per minute, and had taken 31
million years to carve Grand Canyon.  Ken Carlson filmed a tour
guide claiming 18 million years.  But, what’s a mere 72 percent
discrepancy among us scientists?  I asked my tour guide three
questions, after which he would not talk to me.  (1) The model in
the visitor’s center shows the ground where we are standing to have been 2000 feet above the surrounding.  Did the Colorado River run uphill 2000 feet in order to carve the canyon?  [no an-
swer, though they sort-of have one which I anticipated, but did not get].  (2) The box canyons going every direction off the main
canyon have vertical walls with no hint of a river flowing into
them, ever.  If it took 92 million gallons per minute to carve the main
canyon, why are the side canyons the same depth?  [Answer:
“When it rains water pours over the walls of those canyons.”
Scouts honor, that is what he said.]  I said, “I am supposed to be-
lieve it required 92 million gallons/minute to carve the main
canyon, but only an occasional trickle by a desert storm to carve the
same depth canyon?”  [No answer].  (3) The Little Colorado river
joins the Colorado a little ways above here.  A child can see that it
flows far less water than the main stream, yet it’s canyon is just as deep.  How can so much less water continue to match the results of
so much water?  [No Answer]

I’m not sure I got to ask my fourth question, which would
have been, “The model in the visitors center and a plane trip over
the Canyon clearly reveal that Grand Canyon is not a river

canyon.  No other gorge actually carved by a river has side can-
yons that are as deep as the main canyon, with no entrance for wa-
ter and no evidence of water entering.  It seems obvious that other processes or events carved Grand Canyon, and that the
Colorado River flows through it for the simple reason that water
drains downhill.  Rather than the river causing the Canyon, Grand
Canyon caused the Colorado River (or controlled its path).
If the canyon was not there, the 2000 foot uplift would have
formed a lake, or forced the river to run around the uplift.  The evidence is that the mountain formed a lake larger than the Great Lakes, and that earthquakes and volcanos damaged the natural
dam.  The water did the rest.  Two thousand feet of water will do
an astounding amount of work in an extremely short time, utilizing
vortexing and hydraulic plucking which are known to lift huge
slabs of rock; abrasion (including bouncing house-sized, and
larger boulders along the route) and cavitation (a powerful hy-
draulic force unknown in nature or the laboratory until the last
century, but which produces jackhammer pulses at the rate of mil-
ions of times a minute, pulverizing rock and even steel).

Thus, we see that it is entirely conceivable, really, quite
likely, that the Grand Canyon rocks were deposited in less than a
year, and the Canyon itself was carved by a catastrophic dam fail-
ure in a matter of weeks.  There is nothing “scientific” about the belief that either took even a long time, much less millions of
years.  These notions of “millions of years” are simply called “sci-
entific” by those who have rejected Biblical History.  They sprin-
kle their stories with the word “science” in the hope that you will be deceived into believing that there is merit to their belief system.

And do not forget, their religion requires they believe the cos-
mos and its living inhabitants were formed by random processes, but empirical science teaches that such processes have never even formed a pocket comb!  I agree that believing the Bible requires
faith, but not as much faith as believing in millions of years or that random processes caused the incredibly complex systems we observe everywhere.
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